

CLARIFICATION TO TENDER: N° 01

“Analysing the European testing capabilities of ICS-SCADA Systems”

ENISA P/26/12/TCD

Questions & Answers

Q1:	[Company name] is headquartered in the US. As a non-EU entity, can we bid on this tender?
A1:	For this tender procedure, countries which are members of the GPA (General Procurement Agreement) are eligible to bid. USA is a member of the GPA therefore you are welcome to send an offer.
Q2:	Similar to other ENISA products, will the results be in the public domain? This is a critical area and it would be useful to be able to use the material to assess testing in other parts of the world, such as the US. Also – if the results are public – they can be input to different international standards organizations – for harmonization?
A2:	The resulting deliverable document is by default public. However, in case that the majority of the ENISA stakeholders consider that it might contain sensitive information ENISA has the right to make it public to a particular constituency only, and having its access controlled.
Q3:	<p>In Section 1, General Description of the Required Services, page 6 of the Tender Specification, fifth paragraph, the specification notes:</p> <p><i>“Finally, in its Work Programme for 2013 (WP2013), ENISA included the activity related to testing the security of ICS-SCADA systems which in detail is laid out in Work Package (WPK)2.4”</i></p> <p>We cannot locate the subject Work Programme for 2013 or the Work Package (WPK) 2.4. Therefore, by means of this email we respectfully request electronic copies of the Work Programme and Work Package referred to in Section 1, page 6, fifth paragraph.</p>

<p>A3:</p>	<p>Please find following a link to the 'Work Programme 2013' on the ENISA website:</p> <p>http://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/programmes-reports/work-programme-2013</p>
<p>Q4:</p>	<p>In Section 1, General Description of the Required Services, page 6 of the Tender Specification, seventh paragraph, the specification notes:</p> <p><i>"It is crucial for ENISA that opinions, positions and ideas of external stakeholders (i.e., experts from well-known working groups like EuroSCSIE and ERNCIP, experts with experience in testing ICS-SCADA systems) are included in the analysis."</i></p> <p>As we are preparing for our response to this Tender we noted that EuroSCSIE posted a questionnaire regarding ICS security in 2008 at:</p> <p>https://espace.cern.ch/EuroSCSIE/Publications/EuroSCSIE%20Questionnaire%20V1.0.pdf</p> <p>However, we were unable to locate any of the responses to this questionnaire in our research. Therefore, if ENISA has access to the results from this EuroSCSIE study (such as a summary report of the results and/or the actual survey responses) we would like to obtain them for our Tender response preparation.</p>
<p>A4:</p>	<p>ENISA participates at EuroSCSIE plenaries and we can do our best to get the results (if any and to the extent that is related with our study) of this survey provided that the EuroSCSIE board will decide to do so.</p> <p>Of course, we cannot commit ourselves that we will manage to get the answers in the end. Albeit, this could be useful information, it is not a prerequisite for our study.</p> <p>The specification requires that the tenderer will target/take stock of, with the support of ENISA of course, experts from these working groups or any other relevant to this tender.</p>
<p>Q5:</p>	<p>In Section 2.1, TASK 1: Stock Taking of Existing ICS-SCADA Testing Practices and Frameworks," page 8, there is a paragraph that notes:</p> <p><i>"ENISA will also mobilise its network of contacts and institutional bodies (i.e., PSG, MB and NLOs)..."</i></p> <p>Can ENISA please define the abbreviations "PSG," "MB," and "NLOs"?</p>
<p>A5:</p>	<p>PSG: Permanent Stakeholders Group MB: Management Board NLOs: National Liaison Officers</p> <p>For more information on these acronyms please refer to this link: http://www.enisa.europa.eu/about-enisa/structure-organization.</p>